IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 45 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Rajeev S/o Mamchand
2. Shekhar S/o Mam Chand
3. Smt. Sheela W/o Mam Chand
   All R/o Mohalla Dhaki, Krishnanagar,
   Near Gurudwara Shimla
   (Himanchal Pradesh) ……. Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal
   through Senior Superintendent of Police,
   Haridwar

2. Station House officer, P.S. Kotwali,
   Roorkee, District Haridwar

3. Manoj Kumar S/o Shyam Lal R/o Ratna Ka Purva,
   Double Phatak, Roorkee,
   District Haridwar ……. Respondents

……..

Sri Navneet Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.
Sri. D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.
None for respondent no.3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Sri Navneet Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sri. D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.

None for respondent no.3.

2. Sri Sharma, the learned Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioners seeking quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against them now has become infructuous as the police after completion of the investigation has filed charge-sheet against the petitioners before the concerning Court.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

4. With the above order, CLMA Nos. 237 of 2006 and 775 of 2006 also stands disposed of.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**

23.06.2006 23.06.2006

A